

EXHIBIT 19

Filed Under Seal

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 Q Do you understand that when you're playing 10:39AM</p> <p>2 in standalone mode, you can have different tabs or</p> <p>3 workspaces?</p> <p>4 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Again, it's a lot of 10:39AM</p> <p>6 different code in here. So I would have to look</p> <p>7 back through my report in more detail and try to see</p> <p>8 the extent to which I analyzed the workspace tab</p> <p>9 code, et cetera.</p> <p>10 BY MR. HEFAZI: 10:39AM</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And you didn't look at the YouTube</p> <p>12 Remote source code to see whether you can play back</p> <p>13 videos outside of the queue workspace or queue tab?</p> <p>14 A Again, I think as I mentioned a couple times</p> <p>15 before, the theory put forth about -- Dr. B's theory 10:39AM</p> <p>16 he put forth about being able to play -- his claim</p> <p>17 that you can play back the content from the</p> <p>18 recommended tab without requiring pressing on the</p> <p>19 queue was a new thing that he added recently, as far</p> <p>20 as I know. 10:40AM</p> <p>21 And so I did review the code as I</p> <p>22 recollect, but I did not know his theory at that</p> <p>23 point because he had not articulated it. And based</p> <p>24 on the other evidence that he had presented to that</p> <p>25 point, and what he presented in his opening report 10:40AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p>	<p>1 in my -- I think it's in my rebuttal report on 10:42AM</p> <p>2 Paragraph 328 is by using the plus icon.</p> <p>3 Those are the things that I saw and read</p> <p>4 about based on the evidence that was provided at the</p> <p>5 time. 10:42AM</p> <p>6 Q And none of what you mentioned was the</p> <p>7 source code for the YouTube Remote prior art, so you</p> <p>8 didn't consider the source code for the YouTube</p> <p>9 Remote prior art when analyzing whether or not you</p> <p>10 can play back autoplay recommended videos without 10:42AM</p> <p>11 first using the plus sign to add them to the queue?</p> <p>12 A I don't recall seeing that --</p> <p>13 MR. LEE: Objection, form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall seeing that</p> <p>15 in my review of the code. 10:42AM</p> <p>16 But as I mentioned earlier, Dr. B's</p> <p>17 theories with respect to how the purported</p> <p>18 recommended tab could be used in order to initiate</p> <p>19 playback of non-explicitly added items was something</p> <p>20 that came very late in the process. I believe it 10:43AM</p> <p>21 was a week ago or so.</p> <p>22 So as a result, I have not had a chance to</p> <p>23 review that code. If I get a chance to review that</p> <p>24 code, I would be happy to review the code.</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p>
<p>1 and also what I recall from Mr. Levai's deposition 10:40AM</p> <p>2 testimony and other things I've seen, I don't recall</p> <p>3 seeing that particular capability put forward.</p> <p>4 So I don't recall seeing --</p> <p>5 Q How long did you spend reviewing the 10:40AM</p> <p>6 source code computer that contained the YouTube</p> <p>7 Remote prior art source code?</p> <p>8 A I don't recall.</p> <p>9 Q Was it more than ten hours?</p> <p>10 A I'm not sure. 10:41AM</p> <p>11 Q Was it more than five hours?</p> <p>12 A I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. And based on your -- sitting here</p> <p>14 right now, you don't recall whether the YouTube</p> <p>15 Remote source code allows you to play back 10:41AM</p> <p>16 recommended videos outside and without using the</p> <p>17 plus icon?</p> <p>18 A So based on the evidence that I reviewed,</p> <p>19 which included the videos that Dr. B pointed to, all</p> <p>20 of which showed using the plus sign to add videos to 10:41AM</p> <p>21 the playback queue in standalone mode, and based on</p> <p>22 what he described in his opening report, and also what</p> <p>23 I recall Mr. Levai testifying to, it was my</p> <p>24 understanding that that was the way you would get</p> <p>25 videos added to a local playback queue, as I describe 10:42AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p>	<p>1 BY MR. HEFAZI: 10:43AM</p> <p>2 Q Sitting here today, you have not reviewed</p> <p>3 the code relating to autoplay recommended videos?</p> <p>4 A I may have very well reviewed the code. As</p> <p>5 to what he is alleging in his new theories, I don't 10:43AM</p> <p>6 recall getting the same impression from looking at it.</p> <p>7 But as I said, I would be happy to review</p> <p>8 the code. If I'm given the opportunity to review</p> <p>9 the code, I would be happy to and will update my</p> <p>10 opinion or not depending what I find. 10:43AM</p> <p>11 It's also, of course, very important to</p> <p>12 note as we've seen many times that just the presence</p> <p>13 of code doesn't always mean that that code is</p> <p>14 necessarily used on a device or made available.</p> <p>15 So it's hard to know without considering 10:44AM</p> <p>16 other evidence precisely how things work or don't</p> <p>17 work.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. Let me ask you a different way.</p> <p>19 So in this picture it says, "Now playing</p> <p>20 from the search tab." Correct? 10:44AM</p> <p>21 A It doesn't say that, but -- I'm sorry, it</p> <p>22 does say that, yes, sorry. Well, it says, "Now</p> <p>23 playing from search: Nature photos," that's right.</p> <p>24 Q And so you can see there is a tab called</p> <p>25 "Search Nature Photos"; correct? 10:44AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 65</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 A I can see it says something along those 10:44AM</p> <p>2 lines, that's correct.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And the video is playing from that</p> <p>4 tab; correct?</p> <p>5 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 10:44AM</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Again, it's somewhat hard to</p> <p>7 know exactly what's being shown here because we</p> <p>8 didn't have a chance to play with an actual working</p> <p>9 YouTube Remote device that -- didn't get to play</p> <p>10 with one that worked, much less one that would have 10:45AM</p> <p>11 worked from the relevant time frame.</p> <p>12 So it's a little hard to know precisely</p> <p>13 what's going on there.</p> <p>14 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And your testimony to the ladies 10:45AM</p> <p>16 and gentlemen of the jury is that from this image,</p> <p>17 you can't tell if a video is being played from this</p> <p>18 search tab rather than the queue tab; correct?</p> <p>19 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: There is a variety of things 10:45AM</p> <p>21 that are not clear from just looking at a snapshot</p> <p>22 taken out of context.</p> <p>23 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>24 Q So let me get an answer to my question,</p> <p>25 which was whether from the image here, you can -- 10:45AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 66</p>	<p>1 know. 10:47AM</p> <p>2 Since in this case we were not provided</p> <p>3 with working versions of anything, being able to</p> <p>4 speculate -- it becomes speculation, was that an</p> <p>5 actual screenshot from an actual device, was it a 10:47AM</p> <p>6 mockup put there?</p> <p>7 It's hard to say. We really don't know.</p> <p>8 Was that even a feature that was ever available in a</p> <p>9 working product or was it just something that was</p> <p>10 shown in a demo? I have no idea. 10:47AM</p> <p>11 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And so your testimony is that the,</p> <p>13 you know, app screenshots shown on this website</p> <p>14 could be doctored mockups; is that right?</p> <p>15 A My testimony is it's not clear what those 10:48AM</p> <p>16 things are.</p> <p>17 Q Okay.</p> <p>18 A So maybe they are real, maybe they are not</p> <p>19 real. It's not clear.</p> <p>20 So that's why I have to rely on other 10:48AM</p> <p>21 evidence like other things in the case and that's</p> <p>22 why it's particularly useful to be able to look at</p> <p>23 something and play with it to get a better feeling</p> <p>24 for what it's actually doing versus what it might be</p> <p>25 doing. 10:48AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p>
<p>1 well, strike that. Let me reask the question here. 10:45AM</p> <p>2 From the image here, your testimony is</p> <p>3 that you cannot tell whether this video is being</p> <p>4 played in the search tab as opposed to having been</p> <p>5 added and played in the queue tab; is that your 10:46AM</p> <p>6 testimony?</p> <p>7 MR. LEE: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I think the bigger issue</p> <p>9 here for me is these are just screenshots. We don't</p> <p>10 have any way of knowing whether that was actually 10:46AM</p> <p>11 something that ever worked. Perhaps it was a</p> <p>12 mockup.</p> <p>13 It's quite easy to use tools to create</p> <p>14 very realistic-looking screens, typically called the</p> <p>15 wireframing process in app design. 10:46AM</p> <p>16 So just looking at a screenshot, even one</p> <p>17 that's got a date on it, says really nothing about</p> <p>18 whether that's something that was real, whether that</p> <p>19 was something that was mocked up.</p> <p>20 And so without having actually seen 10:47AM</p> <p>21 something that was a bit more live, like a video</p> <p>22 showing it, and even then it can still be</p> <p>23 questionable whether something is working or whether</p> <p>24 it's just a mockup because wireframe technology has</p> <p>25 been around for a long time. It's really hard to 10:47AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 67</p>	<p>1 Q Does the source code reflect what the 10:48AM</p> <p>2 device is doing?</p> <p>3 MR. LEE: Objection, form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: It depends on a lot of</p> <p>5 things. It depends on whether it's source code 10:48AM</p> <p>6 that's available at the relevant point in time. It</p> <p>7 depends on whether the source code that's available</p> <p>8 is actually source code that's used versus source</p> <p>9 code that might be available.</p> <p>10 Again, that's why this particular new 10:48AM</p> <p>11 theory put forth by Dr. B is somewhat problematic,</p> <p>12 because I don't believe he had been articulating</p> <p>13 that theory earlier, and therefore, my ability to</p> <p>14 analyze his claims, it's very abbreviated because I</p> <p>15 haven't had a chance to take a look at that since it 10:49AM</p> <p>16 wasn't something he offered up prior to a week or so</p> <p>17 ago.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And then you see it says, "About</p> <p>19 this app"?</p> <p>20 A I see that, yes. 10:49AM</p> <p>21 Q You see it says, "Updated August 10th,</p> <p>22 2011." Right?</p> <p>23 A I see that, yes.</p> <p>24 Q And it says the current version is 2.07;</p> <p>25 right? 10:49AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 THE WITNESS: It's my understanding -- 10:55AM</p> <p>2 again, as I state here in Paragraph 190, it's my</p> <p>3 understanding that that capability was available</p> <p>4 with respect to the limitations I put forth in later</p> <p>5 analyses and later paragraphs. 10:55AM</p> <p>6 Just pointing that I think the main point</p> <p>7 there was if somebody wanted to play back content,</p> <p>8 they would have normally done so using the</p> <p>9 fully-featured YouTube app, not the YouTube Remote</p> <p>10 application. 10:55AM</p> <p>11 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>12 Q Okay. So that I think was what I was</p> <p>13 trying to understand.</p> <p>14 So you're not saying that the YouTube</p> <p>15 Remote application is not able to play back, you 10:55AM</p> <p>16 know, a queue on the phone when in party mode or</p> <p>17 non-party mode.</p> <p>18 Your opinion is instead it was just, you</p> <p>19 know, not conventional or typical; is that right?</p> <p>20 A I think you did a nice job of paraphrasing 10:56AM</p> <p>21 my opinion which is stated at the very end of</p> <p>22 Paragraph 190, that preview mode was an unconventional</p> <p>23 use of the YouTube Remote application and therefore</p> <p>24 doesn't show up much.</p> <p>25 I think it showed up in one of the six 10:56AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 74</p>	<p>1 claims of the '033 patent because standalone mode 10:57AM</p> <p>2 was atypical; right?</p> <p>3 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: I think I'm really</p> <p>5 discussing this more in the context of other 10:58AM</p> <p>6 features or non-features of YouTube Remote.</p> <p>7 And the discussion in Paragraph 190 is</p> <p>8 really contrasting with the other videos, videos 2</p> <p>9 through 6, where the playback never takes place on</p> <p>10 the YouTube application on the phone but only occurs 10:58AM</p> <p>11 on the paired Leanback screen where the YouTube</p> <p>12 application is just used to control the playback and</p> <p>13 contrasting the common case, which is described in</p> <p>14 Paragraph 189 and above, with the more atypical use</p> <p>15 case in Paragraph 190 and below. 10:58AM</p> <p>16 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So just to make sure I understand</p> <p>18 correctly, you're providing here context, I guess,</p> <p>19 about how the YouTube Remote is, in your view,</p> <p>20 typically used but in your claim analysis, you're 10:59AM</p> <p>21 not arguing that the YouTube Remote in party mode or</p> <p>22 in non-party mode was not able to play back a queue</p> <p>23 on the phone; correct?</p> <p>24 MR. LEE: Objection, form.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: I think so. The main 10:59AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 76</p>
<p>1 videos that Dr. B provided. 10:56AM</p> <p>2 Q Okay. It also showed up in various</p> <p>3 screenshots that you provided; correct?</p> <p>4 A Those screenshots were probably taken from</p> <p>5 video 1, but to the extent that they came from 10:56AM</p> <p>6 video 1, that would be consistent.</p> <p>7 Q Have you seen preview mode outside of</p> <p>8 video 1?</p> <p>9 A That's a good question. I think -- I</p> <p>10 remember thinking when I wrote my report that it was 10:56AM</p> <p>11 only shown in one of the six videos. I think that was</p> <p>12 video 1.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. What about -- do you recall if</p> <p>14 Dr. Bhattacharjee cited any screenshots of the</p> <p>15 YouTube Remote operating in standalone mode? 10:57AM</p> <p>16 A I don't recall off the top of my head.</p> <p>17 Q Now, you understand the system to</p> <p>18 invalidate the patent even if the invalidating mode</p> <p>19 is, you know, so-called atypical; right?</p> <p>20 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 10:57AM</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, I'm</p> <p>22 not a lawyer. But that's my understanding.</p> <p>23 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>24 Q Okay. So you're not arguing here that the</p> <p>25 YouTube Remote's party mode does not invalidate the 10:57AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 75</p>	<p>1 arguments about YouTube Remote not being relevant 10:59AM</p> <p>2 prior art, not showing anticipation of the claims</p> <p>3 have to do with other features it lacked, remote</p> <p>4 playback queue and things like that.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HEFAZI: 10:59AM</p> <p>6 Q So if the jury -- strike that.</p> <p>7 Even if the jury agreed with you that the</p> <p>8 standalone or local playback mode was atypical, they</p> <p>9 could still find the claims of the '033 patent</p> <p>10 invalid; correct? 11:00AM</p> <p>11 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: That probably requires a</p> <p>13 legal conclusion because I don't know all the other</p> <p>14 stipulations or work -- motions or decisions that</p> <p>15 might affect that. 11:00AM</p> <p>16 It seems plausible, but, again, without</p> <p>17 knowing more about the totality of the case and</p> <p>18 court orders and so on, it's hard to know what the</p> <p>19 jury would or wouldn't find.</p> <p>20 BY MR. HEFAZI: 11:00AM</p> <p>21 Q I'm not asking what the jury would or</p> <p>22 would not find. I'm just asking -- so I guess you</p> <p>23 already probably even said this, that the fact that</p> <p>24 a feature is not -- is atypical doesn't mean the</p> <p>25 jury cannot find, you know, the claims are invalid 11:00AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 77</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 based on, you know, so-called atypical -- 11:01AM</p> <p>2 A Again, I'm not really here to speculate what</p> <p>3 the jury might or might not do. It's not really my</p> <p>4 role to speculate on the jury.</p> <p>5 I think I made it clear earlier that as I 11:01AM</p> <p>6 state at the end of Paragraph 190, it was my opinion</p> <p>7 that preview mode was an atypical or an</p> <p>8 unconventional use of the YouTube Remote</p> <p>9 application. I think that was the main real gist of</p> <p>10 that discussion in Paragraph 190. 11:01AM</p> <p>11 Q And an atypical mode can still invalidate</p> <p>12 a patent?</p> <p>13 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not a lawyer, I'm</p> <p>15 not an expert in claim construction and so on. 11:01AM</p> <p>16 But it's my understanding that that would</p> <p>17 be possible. But again, that's based on my</p> <p>18 understanding as a technical expert.</p> <p>19 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>20 Q When you're in party mode, you understand 11:01AM</p> <p>21 the mobile device running the YouTube Remote</p> <p>22 application played back a party queue in the</p> <p>23 standalone mode; right?</p> <p>24 A No, that's not my understanding, no.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. It didn't play back a party queue? 11:02AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 78</p>	<p>1 about in the report that the list that you're 11:04AM</p> <p>2 talking to, I think it's called the party list, in</p> <p>3 all cases when a user wants to connect from the</p> <p>4 application to the Leanback screens, information is</p> <p>5 sent from -- the playlist is sent from the user's 11:04AM</p> <p>6 device through the so-called lounge servers and</p> <p>7 essentially forwarded on to the Leanback screens.</p> <p>8 And I understand that the main difference</p> <p>9 is that with the party mode that a copy of that list</p> <p>10 is stored in the lounge server and basically used to 11:04AM</p> <p>11 coordinate between the various -- the host and the</p> <p>12 various guests.</p> <p>13 In fact, I think it basically talks about</p> <p>14 this at the end of Paragraph 200 about how there's</p> <p>15 really no difference between party mode and 11:05AM</p> <p>16 non-party mode except that in party mode, the lounge</p> <p>17 server has this copy of the list that it uses to</p> <p>18 coordinate with so-called guest devices in addition</p> <p>19 to the host's device or devices.</p> <p>20 Q And the source code that you reviewed and 11:05AM</p> <p>21 that Dr. Bhattacharjee cited, do you recall whether</p> <p>22 that refers to the copy stored on the server as the</p> <p>23 quote, party queue?</p> <p>24 A Excuse me. I'm familiar with that term</p> <p>25 "party queue," but it's not what is used to play back 11:05AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 80</p>
<p>1 A I think there is a nice diagram somewhere in 11:02AM</p> <p>2 this section that kind of shows what is going on with</p> <p>3 party mode and how it's playing things back.</p> <p>4 So I think if we take a look on Page 46, I</p> <p>5 think it has a kind of diagram that shows roughly 11:02AM</p> <p>6 what's going on here.</p> <p>7 Q Just to be clear on the record, I didn't</p> <p>8 mean to interrupt you, but you're looking at your</p> <p>9 rebuttal report; correct?</p> <p>10 A That's correct. I apologize, I'm looking at 11:02AM</p> <p>11 Page 46 of my rebuttal report, that's right.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And so the party queue, is that not</p> <p>13 stored on the lounge servers?</p> <p>14 A So it's my understanding -- and I describe</p> <p>15 this again in detail throughout this report -- that 11:03AM</p> <p>16 the behavior of the YouTube Remote system including</p> <p>17 the YouTube Remote applications and then the so-called</p> <p>18 Leanback screens or screens as they are sometimes</p> <p>19 called which is the receivers, that it behaves the</p> <p>20 same whether it's in party mode or non-party mode with 11:03AM</p> <p>21 respect to how information, things like playlists flow</p> <p>22 from a remote control or a smartphone app running the</p> <p>23 YouTube app, running YouTube Remote, to the Leanback</p> <p>24 screens.</p> <p>25 And it's my understanding as I also talk 11:04AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 79</p>	<p>1 the -- I think the question you asked me was about 11:05AM</p> <p>2 whether the queue was used to play back what was shown</p> <p>3 on the screens.</p> <p>4 Q Yeah, I guess let me ask a different</p> <p>5 question. 11:06AM</p> <p>6 Are you disputing that the lounge server</p> <p>7 stores a, quote, party queue?</p> <p>8 A I believe that I talk about this in</p> <p>9 Paragraph 207 about how there being this party queue</p> <p>10 and I talk about how, in Paragraph 208, that the 11:06AM</p> <p>11 lounge server saves a copy of the set party playlist</p> <p>12 message which is what, as Mr. Levai talks about, that</p> <p>13 the set playlist message sent from the lounge server</p> <p>14 to the screens contains the cloud-hosted party queue.</p> <p>15 I also say at the bottom of Paragraph 208 11:06AM</p> <p>16 that the lounge server uses its saved copy of the</p> <p>17 playlist from the set party playlist, or what's</p> <p>18 called the party queue, to relay any new Leanback</p> <p>19 screens or remote controls that join the existing</p> <p>20 party mode session a copy of the playlist. 11:07AM</p> <p>21 Q Okay. So in your opinion, when a</p> <p>22 standalone mode -- a YouTube Remote application is</p> <p>23 playing back a copy of the party queue that was</p> <p>24 relayed from the server, is that right?</p> <p>25 A Again, I think I see this a couple of 11:07AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 81</p>

1 places, talking about this. If you see, it's 11:07AM
2 Paragraph 216, which I believe is in the context of my
3 analysis of so-called party mode, as with non-party
4 mode, in party mode, there's one playback queue in the
5 YouTube Remote system's party mode and it's the local 11:08AM
6 playback queue on the Leanback screens
7 And the copy of the set playlist, which is
8 described in various places, but certainly in
9 Paragraph 209 at length and Paragraph 210, is that
10 as Mr. Levai testifies, the Leanback screen in party 11:08AM
11 mode uses a copy of the party playlist, or so-called
12 party queue, stored in the lounge server and the
13 Leanback screen uses this copy as its local playback
14 queue for playback without any dependency on the
15 copy of the playlist that's in the lounge server. 11:09AM
16 Conceivably if the lounge server was to go
17 down for whatever reason or become disconnected for
18 whatever reason, the Leanback screens would still be
19 able to play the content because they are playing
20 out of their local playback queues. 11:09AM
21 Q If a user made an edit to the party queue,
22 do those edits first get made to the party queue
23 stored in the cloud and thereafter provided to other
24 devices in the party?
25 A I describe this in Paragraph 213 of my 11:09AM
Page 82

1 rebuttal report where I talk about how the connected 11:09AM
2 remote, which is the one that's owned by the host or
3 used by the host, that's in party mode does have the
4 ability to modify or edit the playlist with updates.
5 And then the rest of Paragraph 213 goes 11:10AM
6 into some detail about how the different messages
7 are sent around like a party playlist modified
8 message and that's what is sent from the remote
9 control to the lounge server.
10 It's my understanding that the lounge 11:10AM
11 server then sends the Leanback screens, something
12 called an update playlist message.
13 Those are just reflecting any changes or
14 edits that the host may have made to the playlist
15 with those updates. 11:10AM
16 Q So the answer is yes, the edits are first
17 made in the party queue and then an update party
18 playlist is sent to the other devices; correct?
19 MR. LEE: Objection to form.
20 THE WITNESS: It's my understanding, as I 11:10AM
21 say in Paragraph 214, that irrespective of whether
22 we're in party mode or non-party mode, that the
23 messages that are sent from the lounge server, such
24 as the update playlist message, they are the same in
25 either mode. 11:11AM
Page 83

1 So when we're in party mode or if we're 11:11AM
2 not in party mode, if the user makes changes to the
3 list, the person who's the host in that case running
4 the YouTube Remote app makes changes, there is a set
5 of messages set up and the lounge server will send 11:11AM
6 those update playlist messages to the lounge, the
7 Leanback screens irrespective of whether we're in
8 party mode or non-party mode.
9 So I don't really think party mode --
10 party mode does not really add anything to the way 11:11AM
11 in which the protocol works as I understand it.
12 Q Non-party mode didn't store the queue in
13 the cloud; correct?
14 A That's correct. But the point I'm making in
15 Paragraph 214 is that updates that are sent are going 11:12AM
16 to be disseminated from the lounge server down to the
17 Leanback screens in both party mode and non-party
18 mode.
19 Q And before they are disseminated to the
20 screens, though, they are saved and updated to party 11:12AM
21 queue?
22 A The copy of the changes that the user has
23 directed from their YouTube Remote application will be
24 used to update the copy of the queue that is in the
25 lounge server when running in party mode. 11:12AM
Page 84

1 As we saw, really the only difference is 11:12AM
2 that queue is kept around in order to coordinate the
3 interactions.
4 So as I say in Paragraph 202, the lounge
5 server -- the copy of the playlist stored on the 11:13AM
6 lounge server in party mode was not used for
7 playback.
8 Rather, the lounge server used a copy of
9 the playlist with whatever edits might have been
10 provided to it by the host to coordinate, to make 11:13AM
11 sure that every device had the same order of videos
12 with the Leanback screens and the remote controls
13 that were used to control or connect to the session.
14 Q And so I just want to make sure I
15 understand your -- we're on the same page in terms 11:13AM
16 of our understanding of how party mode works.
17 Let's take an example. Let's say you have
18 Alice and Alice has a YouTube Remote application on
19 her mobile phone.
20 Are you with me so far? 11:13AM
21 A I am.
22 Q Alice can initiate party mode by inviting
23 a guest to the party, let's call him Bob; correct?
24 A That's my understanding.
25 Q When Alice invites or initiates party mode 11:14AM
Page 85

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 by inviting Bob, the playback queue that she was 11:14AM</p> <p>2 playing on her phone is sent to the lounge server</p> <p>3 and stored as a party queue; correct?</p> <p>4 A So I think as I mentioned before, the</p> <p>5 behavior of party mode and non-party mode are roughly 11:14AM</p> <p>6 the same, the difference being in terms of how</p> <p>7 information is pushed from Alice in this case to</p> <p>8 whomever is listening or participating.</p> <p>9 And the main difference is that with party</p> <p>10 mode, there will be a copy of the playlist she sent 11:14AM</p> <p>11 over stored in the lounge server as a copy. And</p> <p>12 that's not what is used by the Leanback screens to</p> <p>13 play back whatever they want to play back.</p> <p>14 Q My question was a little bit different. I</p> <p>15 didn't mean to cut you off. 11:15AM</p> <p>16 I was asking about this specific scenario.</p> <p>17 When Alice invites Bob to a party, you understand</p> <p>18 that Dr. Bhattacharjee has pointed to a</p> <p>19 INIT PARTY MODE message that is sent to the lounge</p> <p>20 server and stores a party queue? 11:15AM</p> <p>21 A I forget exactly what he called that</p> <p>22 message, but if you can point me to his report or my</p> <p>23 part of the report that discusses that, I'll be happy</p> <p>24 to provide more details about that.</p> <p>25 Q Let's do that. 11:15AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 86</p>	<p>1 Remote application to share a playback queue with 11:18AM</p> <p>2 one or more friends"?</p> <p>3 A I see that he says that, yes.</p> <p>4 Q Do you agree with that statement?</p> <p>5 A Well, there is a whole bunch of 11:18AM</p> <p>6 discussions -- I think this is in my reply report,</p> <p>7 although it might be in my rebuttal report where I --</p> <p>8 Q I'm asking a very simple question.</p> <p>9 Do you agree with the statement in the</p> <p>10 first sentence of Paragraph 171? 11:18AM</p> <p>11 A I don't think I do.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 A And there's reasons for that. That's what I</p> <p>14 was trying to find. And I think there's discussions</p> <p>15 of this in -- I believe certainly in my reply report. 11:18AM</p> <p>16 There may also be discussions of this in my rebuttal</p> <p>17 report.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And so your opinion is that the</p> <p>19 YouTube Remote applications party mode did not allow</p> <p>20 users to share a playback queue with one or more of 11:19AM</p> <p>21 their friends; is that right?</p> <p>22 A I think my issue here is with Dr. B's use of</p> <p>23 the term "playback queue" in this context.</p> <p>24 I think what's really going on there, I</p> <p>25 think as I describe in my reports, that there is a 11:19AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 88</p>
<p>1 While I'm marking this, sitting here right 11:16AM</p> <p>2 now, do you have any understanding of whether when</p> <p>3 Alice invites Bob to a party, her YouTube Remote</p> <p>4 application sends a copy of the party queue to the</p> <p>5 lounge server which is then stored in the lounge 11:16AM</p> <p>6 server?</p> <p>7 A That's not my understanding of how things</p> <p>8 work, no.</p> <p>9 MR. HEFAZI: Okay. Let me then introduce</p> <p>10 Exhibit 7. 11:16AM</p> <p>11 (Whereupon, Exhibit 7 was marked for</p> <p>12 identification.)</p> <p>13 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>14 Q Do you see Exhibit 7?</p> <p>15 A I did. Let me just save that real quick, 11:17AM</p> <p>16 please.</p> <p>17 Okay. I'm there.</p> <p>18 Q Exhibit 7 is the opening report of Samrat</p> <p>19 Bhattacharjee; correct?</p> <p>20 A That's correct. 11:17AM</p> <p>21 Q And let's actually go to Paragraph 172.</p> <p>22 A Okay. I'm there.</p> <p>23 Q Let's start at 171.</p> <p>24 Do you see how Dr. Bhattacharjee says,</p> <p>25 "The party mode feature allowed users of the YouTube 11:18AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 87</p>	<p>1 playlist, the user has a playlist, which is not the 11:19AM</p> <p>2 same thing as a playback queue for a whole variety</p> <p>3 of reasons discussed in a whole variety of places.</p> <p>4 But I think in particular, there is a</p> <p>5 discussion about this starting on Paragraph 101 in 11:19AM</p> <p>6 my rebuttal report.</p> <p>7 Q So I think what I'm asking about -- I'm</p> <p>8 asking right now just about Exhibit 7.</p> <p>9 And so I guess what I'm hearing is you</p> <p>10 would agree that the party mode feature allowed 11:20AM</p> <p>11 users of the YouTube application to share a playlist</p> <p>12 with one or more of their friends; right?</p> <p>13 A I believe that is the terminology that I</p> <p>14 used in my reports and specifically in my section of</p> <p>15 my rebuttal report that we were just talking about a 11:20AM</p> <p>16 minute ago.</p> <p>17 And I think the justification for that, if</p> <p>18 I may, has to be -- is to do with the court's order</p> <p>19 as described, for example, in Paragraph 102 of my</p> <p>20 rebuttal report that a playlist is not equivalent to 11:20AM</p> <p>21 a playback queue.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And so we'll discuss that in a</p> <p>23 second.</p> <p>24 But the source code for the YouTube Remote</p> <p>25 application refers to the shared playlist as a party 11:20AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 89</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 queue; correct? 11:20AM</p> <p>2 A That's my understanding. Of course, the</p> <p>3 source code is not thinking about constructions, it</p> <p>4 could have called it "foo" and for whatever purpose,</p> <p>5 it's -- just because we call it a queue doesn't mean 11:21AM</p> <p>6 it's a playback queue in the context of the '033</p> <p>7 patent and the court's construction.</p> <p>8 Q Okay. Now, the second paragraph says, "A</p> <p>9 host user (Alice) could create a playback queue</p> <p>10 containing YouTube videos." 11:21AM</p> <p>11 Do you disagree with that?</p> <p>12 A When you say the second paragraph, where are</p> <p>13 we?</p> <p>14 Q Sorry, Paragraph 171 of Exhibit 7, the</p> <p>15 second sentence says, "A host user (Alice) could 11:21AM</p> <p>16 create a playback queue containing YouTube videos."</p> <p>17 A I see that, yes.</p> <p>18 Q Do you agree with that statement?</p> <p>19 A Again, modulo to the discussion about</p> <p>20 whether that's the proper use of playback queue as the 11:21AM</p> <p>21 court has constructed it, it's my understanding that</p> <p>22 Alice could use a YouTube Remote application to create</p> <p>23 a playlist of YouTube videos.</p> <p>24 It's kind of hard to know what that means</p> <p>25 because there's different know ways to interpret 11:22AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 90</p>	<p>1 queue tab on her YouTube Remote, it's your opinion 11:23AM</p> <p>2 that that is not a queue, that's a playlist;</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 A Well, so it's my understanding that the</p> <p>5 actual messages that are being sent from Alice in this 11:23AM</p> <p>6 case to the lounge server they are -- in fact, if you</p> <p>7 take a look at Paragraph 207 in my rebuttal report,</p> <p>8 these are -- it says -- I think this is my phrasing of</p> <p>9 what Dr. B is trying to say, to initiate the YouTube</p> <p>10 Remote system's remote control party mode, a host 11:24AM</p> <p>11 remote control, Alice, sends a copy of its or her</p> <p>12 entire local playback queue, which is its</p> <p>13 locally-stored list of media selected for playback, in</p> <p>14 a so-called set party playlist message to the lounge</p> <p>15 server. 11:24AM</p> <p>16 So I think that's a more accurate</p> <p>17 description of what's happening, not using the</p> <p>18 confusing terminology that Dr. B keeps throwing in</p> <p>19 to give the impression perhaps that there is a</p> <p>20 playback queue being used here. 11:24AM</p> <p>21 I think even the source code is pretty</p> <p>22 clear that what's being sent is not a playback</p> <p>23 queue, it's a party playlist.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. So let's put aside the word</p> <p>25 "playback." So you would agree that a host user, 11:25AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 92</p>
<p>1 that. But she could identify things that would be 11:22AM</p> <p>2 played back.</p> <p>3 Q So we saw earlier today that there was a</p> <p>4 plus icon next to videos that you said can be used</p> <p>5 to add them to a queue. Do you recall that? 11:22AM</p> <p>6 A I do.</p> <p>7 Q And so are you saying now that Alice</p> <p>8 cannot create a playback queue containing YouTube</p> <p>9 videos using the YouTube Remote application?</p> <p>10 A I think what Alice is doing in this case is 11:22AM</p> <p>11 she's creating a list of videos that she would like to</p> <p>12 be able to send.</p> <p>13 The specific use case here is describing</p> <p>14 creating a list of videos or identifiers to videos</p> <p>15 and then, as the third sentence says, the host, who 11:22AM</p> <p>16 in this case is Alice, can use the YouTube Remote</p> <p>17 application to invite one or more guests, which in</p> <p>18 this case could be Bob, I suppose, to share that</p> <p>19 particular playlist.</p> <p>20 And as I understand it, that's where 11:23AM</p> <p>21 things get sent with the playlist command that we</p> <p>22 were talking about or the playlist message that we</p> <p>23 were talking about earlier.</p> <p>24 Q So now if Alice used the plus icons to</p> <p>25 add, you know, let's say videos 1, 2 and 3 to the 11:23AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 91</p>	<p>1 Alice, could create a playlist containing YouTube 11:25AM</p> <p>2 videos; right?</p> <p>3 A I think that's pretty much what I just said</p> <p>4 in terms of quoting from my Paragraph 207 in my</p> <p>5 rebuttal report. 11:25AM</p> <p>6 Q And then Alice can then use her remote</p> <p>7 YouTube application to invite one or more guests to</p> <p>8 share her party playlist; correct?</p> <p>9 A That's correct. That's my understanding.</p> <p>10 Q And the party playlist was editable by all 11:25AM</p> <p>11 members of the party?</p> <p>12 A I believe that's correct. I think the</p> <p>13 playlist that we're talking about here, as it says in</p> <p>14 my Paragraph 208, the lounge server, once it gets the</p> <p>15 playlist and it goes into party mode, it goes ahead 11:25AM</p> <p>16 and -- this is Paragraph 207, sorry, it changes the</p> <p>17 value for the playlist containing its local playback</p> <p>18 queue from queue to party queue.</p> <p>19 But other than that, it's got this name.</p> <p>20 there's -- and it stores it -- as it says in 11:26AM</p> <p>21 Paragraph 208, it saves a copy of the set party</p> <p>22 playlist that Alice sent to the lounge server.</p> <p>23 And then I go on, and I won't read it</p> <p>24 right now because you haven't asked me about this,</p> <p>25 but then the lounge server will then forward or send 11:26AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 93</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 that set party playlist message with a playlist from 11:26AM 2 the set party playlist message to any and all of the 3 connected Leanback screens of the host and the 4 guests in the session 5 Q You said -- I believe you said that the 11:26AM 6 lounge server will store the playlist, it stores it 7 in what's called a party queue, correct? 8 A It's my understanding, as described in 9 Paragraphs 207 and 208 of my rebuttal report, that the 10 lounge server saves a copy of the set party playlist 11:27AM 11 message and it changes the name it uses internally 12 from queue to party queue, reflecting it's now gone 13 into party mode. 14 And it then will subsequently use this 15 saved copy of the playlist it received from Alice in 11:27AM 16 our example obtained from the set party playlist 17 message to relay to any new Leanback screens or 18 remote controls from guests, for example, that join 19 the existing party session a copy of the playlist as 20 described at the bottom of Paragraph 208 11:27AM 21 Q Okay. And going back to Exhibit 7, which 22 is Bobby's opening report, in Paragraph 171, I think 23 you disagreed that the party playlist was editable 24 by all members of the party. 25 Would you also agree that the party 11:28AM Page 94</p>	<p>1 going to be the playback queue in both modes, party 11:29AM 2 mode and non-party mode, it's going to be the local 3 playback mode that's stored on the Leanback screens 4 as I say in Paragraph 216 in my report. 5 Q Okay. I see the images on the screens. 11:30AM 6 Now, what about the phones of the members 7 of the party, Alice and Bob, would they also get a 8 copy of the playlist? 9 A That's my understanding. 10 Q And that copy will be updated when one of 11:30AM 11 them makes an edit to the playlist? 12 A As I say in Paragraph 213 of my rebuttal 13 report, and I think this is still again pretty similar 14 between party and non-party mode, "the connected 15 remote controls in a party mode session have the 11:30AM 16 ability to modify or edit the playlist with updates." 17 And then the remainder of Paragraph 213 18 talks about how this occurs, the remote control, be 19 it a guest or be it a host, will send update 20 messages to the lounge server, which in turn, as 11:31AM 21 we've talked about before, will send the updates for 22 the playlist to the remote controls in the overall 23 party session using a message called party playlist 24 modified. 25 And again, as opposed to the case with 11:31AM Page 96</p>
<p>1 playlist could be played back on the mobile device 11:28AM 2 of the host user and guest users as well as the host 3 user screens? 4 A This is the real subtle issue that's 5 important to see as a distinction. 11:28AM 6 So what's happening when we're in party 7 mode and when we're not in party mode with respect 8 to the behavior of the Leanback screens is the same. 9 And that's described in Paragraph 209 of 10 my report where that lounge server sends the copy of 11:28AM 11 the original playlist sent by Alice to it and it's 12 the same protocol message, it's a set playlist 13 message that emanates from the lounge server, goes 14 to the Leanback screens, the same message in both 15 cases. 11:29AM 16 And what's going to happen then is the 17 playback that takes place -- and I think I talk 18 about this several places in my -- I think I cite 19 Mr. Levai's deposition testimony in Paragraph 210. 20 I think the main point is that what gets 11:29AM 21 played back by the Leanback screens is their local 22 copy of the contents. 23 If we consider that to be a playback 24 queue, if there's going to be a playback queue 25 anywhere within the YouTube Remote system, it's 11:29AM Page 95</p>	<p>1 non-party mode, the Leanback screens will -- who are 11:31AM 2 part of the session will be updated using an update 3 playlist message. 4 Q Okay. So then in your view, when in 5 standalone mode, the guests are playing back on 11:31AM 6 their YouTube Remote application a local copy of the 7 party playlist and when in remote control mode, the 8 playback devices are playing back a local copy of 9 the party playlist; is that right? 10 A Let's unpack that. 11:32AM 11 Q Yeah, let's take it in turn. 12 I think you just mentioned that when edits 13 are made, a copy of the party playlist is provided 14 to the guests and stored as a local queue; correct? 15 A So are we in party mode or non-party mode? 11:32AM 16 Q Party mode. 17 A So we're in party mode. 18 So it's my understanding, as I say in 19 Paragraph 213, that in party mode, the connected 20 remote has the ability to -- the connected remote 11:32AM 21 controls, which could be either the guests or the 22 host, as I understand it, have the ability to modify 23 or edit the playlist with updates. 24 Q Okay. And then you said that those 25 updates will eventually be passed by the server to, 11:32AM Page 97</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 you know, all of the remotes of mobile devices in 11:33AM</p> <p>2 the party; correct?</p> <p>3 A If I understand your question, I think in</p> <p>4 Paragraph 213 I talk about how the remote control will</p> <p>5 send the updates. Let's say that the host does it for 11:33AM</p> <p>6 lack of a -- for sake of completeness, but I think it</p> <p>7 could be a guest as well, they will send an update</p> <p>8 message to the lounge server and the lounge server in</p> <p>9 turn will then send the update message, it will</p> <p>10 forward essentially the update messages for the 11:33AM</p> <p>11 playlist to the remote controls in the session using a</p> <p>12 party playlist modify message.</p> <p>13 Q Then the remote controls can playback that</p> <p>14 party playlist that was received in standalone mode;</p> <p>15 correct? 11:33AM</p> <p>16 A So that's what I'm trying to understand the</p> <p>17 scenario.</p> <p>18 Were we initially in -- playing things</p> <p>19 back to the Leanback sessions in this scenario or</p> <p>20 were we not in the Leanback model but instead we're 11:34AM</p> <p>21 a party in standalone mode?</p> <p>22 Q How is it different? Let's take it that</p> <p>23 you were in a party in standalone mode.</p> <p>24 A Okay. So my understanding is that, as we</p> <p>25 just described from Paragraph 213, that the 11:34AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 98</p>	<p>1 the value of I believe it's queue to party queue at 11:36AM</p> <p>2 that point.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 7, Bobby's</p> <p>4 report, at Paragraph 172.</p> <p>5 Are you there? 11:36AM</p> <p>6 A I'm there.</p> <p>7 Q Do you see that Dr. Bhattacharjee states</p> <p>8 in the second sentence, "In party mode, the host</p> <p>9 user sends a queue of videos to a cloud server (for</p> <p>10 example, a lounge server) along with a list of 11:37AM</p> <p>11 guests."</p> <p>12 I understand that you disagree with the</p> <p>13 term "queue." But putting that aside, let's say</p> <p>14 it's a playlist of videos to the cloud server, I</p> <p>15 think you agree with this statement; correct? 11:37AM</p> <p>16 A Let's see. The host user sends a -- let's</p> <p>17 say we say it's a playlist of videos to the MDx server</p> <p>18 or so-called lounge server. I agree with him with</p> <p>19 that, that's how it works.</p> <p>20 Along with a list of guests that -- I 11:37AM</p> <p>21 believe that's the way it works. I would have to</p> <p>22 take a closer look, but that sounds along the right</p> <p>23 liens.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. Now, Dr. Bhattacharjee discusses an</p> <p>25 invite to party function. 11:38AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 100</p>
<p>1 information will be propagated to the guest devices 11:34AM</p> <p>2 and if they are in standalone mode, then conceivably</p> <p>3 they will be playing back -- will be playing back in</p> <p>4 the local device, although I think as I talked about</p> <p>5 before, that's a more atypical way to use the YouTube 11:35AM</p> <p>6 Remote.</p> <p>7 Q At some point, a host or guest can choose</p> <p>8 to send playback to a host user's screens; correct?</p> <p>9 A I'm not sure I follow. What do you mean?</p> <p>10 Q You talked about a set party playlist; 11:35AM</p> <p>11 correct?</p> <p>12 A That's correct, yes.</p> <p>13 Q And that is sent when a user chooses to</p> <p>14 transfer playback from the phone to the screen;</p> <p>15 correct? 11:35AM</p> <p>16 A Let's see. So this I think is something</p> <p>17 that's described both in Dr. B's report that we were</p> <p>18 looking at before and also in my report.</p> <p>19 Focusing on my report because I think my</p> <p>20 terminology is more precise, to initiate the remote 11:36AM</p> <p>21 control party mode, the host remote control will</p> <p>22 send a copy of its entire local playlist to the</p> <p>23 lounge server.</p> <p>24 And then that will go ahead and do the</p> <p>25 things we talked about before to set the -- change 11:36AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 99</p>	<p>1 Do you see that in Paragraph 172 of 11:38AM</p> <p>2 Exhibit 7?</p> <p>3 A I see that.</p> <p>4 Q And the rebuttal report, your rebuttal</p> <p>5 report does not discuss the invite to party 11:38AM</p> <p>6 function, does it?</p> <p>7 A Let's see.</p> <p>8 Q It's an electronic problem, saving you a</p> <p>9 quick intro lap to confirm.</p> <p>10 A I don't see it referenced directly in my 11:38AM</p> <p>11 rebuttal report.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And so Dr. Bhattacharjee said that</p> <p>13 the invite to party function retrieves the current</p> <p>14 media queue for the host and calls the Party</p> <p>15 ModeManager.initPartyMode. 11:38AM</p> <p>16 Do you see that?</p> <p>17 A I do.</p> <p>18 Q And again, you don't discuss this in your</p> <p>19 report either, do you?</p> <p>20 A There is a reference to the party mode 11:39AM</p> <p>21 manager in Paragraph 207. There is a couple of</p> <p>22 references actually to the party mode manager in</p> <p>23 Paragraph 7.</p> <p>24 Q 207 you're referring to?</p> <p>25 A 207, I'm sorry, I apologize. 11:39AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 101</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 Q 207 of your rebuttal report? 11:39AM</p> <p>2 A That's correct, yes. It's talking about the</p> <p>3 party mode manager, real party mode manager, that's</p> <p>4 correct, which is also referenced in Dr. B's report</p> <p>5 about midway through Paragraph 172. 11:39AM</p> <p>6 Q Okay. Just quickly, you know, we were</p> <p>7 discussing the party mode manager initPartyMode</p> <p>8 function that's in the fina</p> <p>9 ContactListActivity.java in Paragraph 172; right?</p> <p>10 A So are you referring to the first sentence 11:40AM</p> <p>11 or the first part of the --</p> <p>12 Q The sentence we were just talking about</p> <p>13 talked about the invite to party mode function;</p> <p>14 right? That's in ContactListActivity.java; right?</p> <p>15 A I see that reference on Page 65 of Dr. B's 11:40AM</p> <p>16 report, yes.</p> <p>17 Q It says that "retrieves the media queue</p> <p>18 and calls the partyModeManager.initPartyMode."</p> <p>19 Is it your understanding that the</p> <p>20 reference to the partyModeManager.initPartyMode 11:40AM</p> <p>21 function the same thing as the RealPartyModeManager</p> <p>22 that you've cited here in Paragraph 207 of your</p> <p>23 report?</p> <p>24 A Well, if you take a look about three</p> <p>25 sentences down in Dr. B's report, you'll see that 11:41AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 102</p>	<p>1 is either an implementation of the party mode 11:42AM</p> <p>2 manager interface or an extension or subclass of the</p> <p>3 party mode manager class, if it's a class rather</p> <p>4 than an interface.</p> <p>5 So calling the InitPartyMode method 11:42AM</p> <p>6 referenced in the context of the contact list</p> <p>7 activity in reality is calling the InitPartyMode</p> <p>8 function of the RealPartyModeManager through the</p> <p>9 magic of dynamic dispatch.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. That's fair. 11:43AM</p> <p>11 You see that Dr. Bhattacharjee says that</p> <p>12 The retrieved media queue can be any one of various</p> <p>13 stations including a user created queue or a list of</p> <p>14 recommended videos.</p> <p>15 Do you see that? 11:43AM</p> <p>16 A "The retrieved media queue can be any one of</p> <p>17 the stations I discussed above," user created queue --</p> <p>18 I see that, yes.</p> <p>19 Q And putting aside the word "queue," let's</p> <p>20 replace the word "queue" with "playlist" here. 11:43AM</p> <p>21 Do you agree that the invite to party mode</p> <p>22 function can retrieve a user created playlist or a</p> <p>23 list of recommended videos?</p> <p>24 A So it's my understanding, as I describe here</p> <p>25 on Paragraph 207 in my report, that what's happening 11:44AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 104</p>
<p>1 there's a reference to "RealPartyModeManager" 11:41AM</p> <p>2 Do you see that?</p> <p>3 Q Mm-hmm.</p> <p>4 A You'll see that that refers to</p> <p>5 "initPartyMode" function. The sentence starts out. 11:41AM</p> <p>6 "The initPartyMode function (see</p> <p>7 RealPartyModeManager.java, Lines 189 to 212)."</p> <p>8 Above you see where it talked about</p> <p>9 calling partyModeManager.initPartyMode.</p> <p>10 I believe, if I understand Java, which I 11:41AM</p> <p>11 have a very good understanding of, typically the way</p> <p>12 things work in Java code is you'll have something</p> <p>13 called an interface or a superclass that is going to</p> <p>14 define the overall signature that's involved,</p> <p>15 signatures that are involved for methods. 11:42AM</p> <p>16 So there's probably a party mode manager</p> <p>17 interface or superclass and it has a method called</p> <p>18 initPartyMode.</p> <p>19 In Java typically what happens is there is</p> <p>20 a factory that would create an actual instance of 11:42AM</p> <p>21 that interface or an implementation of that</p> <p>22 superclass that would be more specialized and</p> <p>23 provide the actual implementation.</p> <p>24 So my suspicion, which could be confirmed</p> <p>25 by reviewing the code, is that RealPartyModeManager 11:42AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 103</p>	<p>1 is that the user, the host, in the case of Alice in 11:44AM</p> <p>2 the case of Dr. B's scenario, is sending over that</p> <p>3 list, that playlist.</p> <p>4 As it sees here, the user -- it says, "In</p> <p>5 party mode," this is Dr. B's report, Paragraph 172, 11:44AM</p> <p>6 "the host user sends a queue of videos to a cloud</p> <p>7 server along with a list of guests." And then he</p> <p>8 gives an example of that.</p> <p>9 So the invite to party function is used to</p> <p>10 retrieve the current media queue, which I think we 11:44AM</p> <p>11 would agree -- or I would agree is a playlist.</p> <p>12 And then it calls into the party mode</p> <p>13 manager init party mode function.</p> <p>14 So I think that's what it's describing,</p> <p>15 it's taking the list that the user has provided, as 11:45AM</p> <p>16 I talk about in Paragraph 207 of my report, and it's</p> <p>17 going to send that copy over to the -- over to the</p> <p>18 lounge server.</p> <p>19 The reason why this is relevant is that if</p> <p>20 you take a look at the beginning of Paragraph 172, 11:45AM</p> <p>21 it's clearly talking about something that's</p> <p>22 occurring on the client. This is Alice, for</p> <p>23 example, running the YouTube Remote application on</p> <p>24 their mobile phone. So this is from the user's</p> <p>25 point of view. 11:45AM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 105</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 with the term "multimedia item" in both the '033 and 1:35PM 2 '615 patents and then I provide some references in 3 the specification about why that's the case. 4 Q In the context of the accused YouTube 5 applications, what is a video ID? 1:35PM 6 A So I describe that several places. 7 Q I don't need a paragraph. If you know 8 what a video ID, you can just tell me. 9 A Let's see. I see where I -- it's an 10 identifier, it's a way that the accused 1:36PM 11 instrumentalities identify a song or a video. 12 Q Okay. And that identifier, is it just 13 like a series of letters and numbers? 14 A It's really up to -- that's really sort of 15 an implementation detail in the YouTube cloud and app 1:36PM 16 infrastructure. 17 So it could be represented various ways. 18 It could be numbers, it could be alphanumeric 19 characters. It's just some way of being able to 20 identify the content that's at issue. 1:37PM 21 Q In the accused YouTube applications, do 22 you know how it's implemented? Is it just like a 23 series of characters? 24 A I'm trying to see if I can find a 25 description of what it is. I think it's a set of 1:37PM Page 146</p>	<p>1 something else, a Bandid server believe, and the 1:39PM 2 content is what is played back ultimately. 3 Q Okay. 4 A That's described -- just for completeness, 5 that's described on Paragraph 164 of my opening 1:39PM 6 report, and I'm just it's described elsewhere, where a 7 receiver uses one or more URLs it gets back from the 8 player service to retrieve the media item from one of 9 more so-called Bandid servers in the YouTube cloud 10 infrastructure. 1:39PM 11 Q Okay. Now, you have an image here in 12 Paragraph 166 of your opening report. Is that an 13 accurate description of the process? 14 A I believe it's an accurate description of a 15 portion of the process. 1:40PM 16 Q What about the process for using a video 17 ID to get the URL and then using that obtained URL 18 to retrieve the media content for playback? 19 A So the picture that's shown on bottom of 20 Paragraph -- or Page 54, Paragraph 166, is showing a 1:40PM 21 portion of the scenario where the receiver makes a 22 request to the player service -- and I don't show this 23 in the diagram, but I think I describe it in the text 24 a little bit up ahead of that where it makes a get 25 player request to the player service and it gets 1:41PM Page 148</p>
<p>1 characters, that's a reasonable description. 1:37PM 2 Q Okay. Does the video ID contain 3 multimedia content? 4 A Not typically. It's a way to identify 5 multimedia content. 1:37PM 6 Q Can a playback device play back a 7 retrieved video ID? 8 A Well, as I describe in several parts of my 9 report, the video IDs are -- a video ID is passed from 10 the receiver to the player service. 1:38PM 11 There is a section in my opening report, I 12 describe it several places, but one place is 13 Paragraph 165 where I talk about how a receiver is 14 given a video ID which comes across in the play -- 15 the set playlist message. And it uses this to 1:38PM 16 retrieve the corresponding media item of whatever 17 YouTube service is being invoked. 18 In particular, in the context of the 19 various apps, the receiver makes a get player call 20 containing the video ID and it sends it up to the 1:38PM 21 player service in the YouTube cloud infrastructure. 22 What it gets back from the player service 23 is a response message that contains one or more URLs 24 that it can then use to obtain the actual contents. 25 And I think that content is obtained from 1:39PM Page 147</p>	<p>1 back -- 1:41PM 2 Q Let me help shortcut this. I hear you 3 that it's only a portion of the process. 4 You know, is the portion of the process 5 depicted here, the player service and the Bandid 1:41PM 6 server pair, accurate in your opinion? 7 A I'm not sure what "accurate" means in this 8 case. It's a depiction of something. And the text 9 around it gives more detail and other paragraphs like 10 Paragraph 163 I was reading from talk about the 1:41PM 11 specific call that's made with the video ID to the 12 player service, as I understand it. 13 So, again, this is an abstraction of some 14 things. I think my reply report may go into a bit 15 more detail in terms of the various elements of what 1:42PM 16 I call the Watch Next queue and how that's 17 decomposed into different services and so on. 18 But as I understand it, this is an 19 accurate depiction of a portion of the process. 20 Q Okay. Now, let's turn to your rebuttal 1:42PM 21 report. And I believe your rebuttal report is 22 Exhibit 3. 23 A Okay. 24 Q And so let's turn to Paragraph 107 of your 25 rebuttal report. 1:42PM Page 149</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 It's simply saying that the cloud queue is 2:21PM 2 the element that will store the list, it will -- 3 it's not -- the cloud queue is what is providing the 4 information, the items that the app is using and 5 it's not just a copy of the list. It's not a mere 2:22PM 6 copy or a copy of a subset of a list that is being 7 processed for playback. 8 That's not what makes something a playback 9 queue. That's the whole -- the whole thing that got 10 this was the focus of the dispute, as I understand 2:22PM 11 it. 12 BY MR. HEFAZI: 13 Q So what were the requirements of a queue 14 that runs the show that you applied -- what did you 15 look for to make sure that a queue is the one that 2:22PM 16 runs the show? 17 A Well, that topic comes up in a number of 18 places in other reports such as my reply report where 19 on -- 20 Q What did you understand the court to set 2:22PM 21 forth as a requirement for determining that a queue 22 is the one that runs the show? 23 A Well, I think that the key distinction I'm 24 drawing here that I think is most important, and this 25 shows up all over the place in all of my reports, is 2:23PM Page 178</p>	<p>1 behavior of the app, especially the Leanback screens, 2:24PM 2 is the same whether we're in party mode or not. And 3 so -- 4 Q Sir, my question is: The party playlist 5 has a number of items in it; correct? 2:24PM 6 MR. LEE: Objection. Go ahead. 7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you please 8 reask the question? 9 BY MR. HEFAZI: 10 Q The party playlist has a list of media 2:24PM 11 items; correct? 12 A It has a playlist, that's correct. It's 13 sent to it from the -- from the sender. 14 Q And is that list of media items -- when a 15 user plays back on the playback queue, is that the 2:25PM 16 list of media items that's going to be played back? 17 A No. 18 Q Okay. So let's say I have three videos, 19 video 1, video 2 and video 3. Okay? Are you with 20 me? 2:25PM 21 A I am. 22 Q Okay. And let's say those three videos 23 are in my playlist; correct? 24 A Okay. 25 Q Okay. Now, when the party playlist is 2:25PM Page 180</p>
<p>1 that it's the remote playback queue that needs to be 2:23PM 2 involved in what it does, rather than being local 3 queues. 4 I think when we talked earlier about 5 YouTube Remote, I made it clear that I didn't agree 2:23PM 6 with Dr. B's characterization that the lists that 7 were being sent back and forth, the playlists that 8 were being sent back and forth from the remote -- 9 YouTube Remote application were playback queues. 10 And I was explaining why. 2:23PM 11 I was saying that was in reference to the 12 court's construction and they are just lists of 13 things but it's not a remote playback queue. 14 So that's kind of what motivated the whole 15 discussion in my rebuttal report and my reply report 2:23PM 16 was what I perceived to be Dr. B's 17 mischaracterization of what the court put forward as 18 what a playback queue was. 19 Q Does the party playlist stored in the 20 cloud contain the entire list of media items 2:24PM 21 selected for playback? 22 A So the issue there is that the way in which 23 the information is used is just a copy of something 24 and that -- as I talked about earlier when we were 25 talking about my rebuttal report before lunch, the 2:24PM Page 179</p>	<p>1 sent to the playback device, is it going to play 2:25PM 2 back videos 1, video 2 and video 3? 3 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 4 THE WITNESS: There is a whole bunch of 5 issues there that would in general be unknown. But 2:26PM 6 the key point is it's not playing back what's in 7 that queue. 8 What is being played back are the list of 9 items that are in the Leanback screens. 10 BY MR. HEFAZI: 2:26PM 11 Q So the list -- the actual list of the 12 media items, the content, the list of videos, a/k/a, 13 video 1, video 2, video 3, that's not the queue; 14 correct? 15 A We have to be careful how we use the term. 2:26PM 16 So unfortunately, the source code refers 17 to that as -- I think it's called the party queue. 18 if I'm not mistaken 19 But the party queue that's being 20 referenced is not the -- is not what's being used to 2:26PM 21 play back the list of songs by the remote device. 22 Q So is a queue specific data structure or 23 storage space on the device? 24 A No. 25 Q What is it that's the queue on the device? 2:27PM Page 181</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 2:27PM</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: There is a -- in what</p> <p>3 context?</p> <p>4 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>5 Q You said that when the cloud -- so let's 2:27PM</p> <p>6 take a very simple example. Our party playlist has</p> <p>7 three videos, video 1, video 2 and video 3.</p> <p>8 That party playlist is stored in the</p> <p>9 cloud; correct?</p> <p>10 A The party playlist is stored in the cloud, 2:27PM</p> <p>11 yes. If we're in party mode, yes, that's correct.</p> <p>12 Q If we're in party mode, that party</p> <p>13 playlist is sent to the playback device and played</p> <p>14 back where video 1, video 2 and video 3 will play;</p> <p>15 correct? 2:28PM</p> <p>16 A It depends --</p> <p>17 MR. LEE: Objection, form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: -- on various factors as to</p> <p>19 whether that will happen or not.</p> <p>20 The key point in this is that what 2:28PM</p> <p>21 dictates the playback in the YouTube Remote context</p> <p>22 by the Leanback screens is the local playback queue</p> <p>23 that the -- that the Leanback screens use, as I talk</p> <p>24 about in Paragraph 216 in my rebuttal report.</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 182</p>	<p>1 that's sent with the set playlist message 2:29PM</p> <p>2 And as I mention in my report, a copy --</p> <p>3 the lounge server, the MDx server, simply stores a</p> <p>4 copy of that for coordination purposes and then</p> <p>5 forwards those lists down to the Leanback screens. 2:30PM</p> <p>6 And the Leanback screens then use their local</p> <p>7 playback queue in order to be able to play back the</p> <p>8 content that's designated there.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So the party playlist, it includes</p> <p>10 the video 1, 2 and 3 that were selected for 2:30PM</p> <p>11 playback; correct?</p> <p>12 A In your hypothetical, sure.</p> <p>13 Q And that video 1, 2, 3 in a party playlist</p> <p>14 are then stored on the lounge server as a party</p> <p>15 queue you said, but I understand you believe it's 2:30PM</p> <p>16 more a party playlist; right?</p> <p>17 A I refer to it -- I say to the extent that</p> <p>18 there is a playback queue at all, as I say in</p> <p>19 Paragraph 216 of my rebuttal report, it's the local</p> <p>20 playback queue. It's not a remote playback queue, 2:31PM</p> <p>21 it's a local playback queue.</p> <p>22 Q I understand, and I'm trying to understand</p> <p>23 those things. Let me put aside whether it's called</p> <p>24 a party queue or a party list.</p> <p>25 A user creates a party playlist and it has 2:31PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 184</p>
<p>1 BY MR. HEFAZI: 2:28PM</p> <p>2 Q What is the local playback queue? Is it a</p> <p>3 data structure?</p> <p>4 A It's whatever it is. I mean, it could be --</p> <p>5 it's sort of an implementation detail as to how -- 2:28PM</p> <p>6 Q How is it implemented in the YouTube</p> <p>7 Remote prior art?</p> <p>8 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: There is a -- I don't</p> <p>10 remember off the top of my head the specific name of 2:28PM</p> <p>11 it, but it's represented as being a queue. It may</p> <p>12 be called queue and it's used to dictate how things</p> <p>13 are played back on that local playback screen,</p> <p>14 Leanback screen.</p> <p>15 BY MR. HEFAZI: 2:29PM</p> <p>16 Q So what makes the queue -- sorry. What</p> <p>17 makes the party playlist that's stored on the server</p> <p>18 different than the party playlist that's stored on</p> <p>19 the playback device?</p> <p>20 A First of all, it may not, at any given point 2:29PM</p> <p>21 in time, actually reflect the same contents.</p> <p>22 But more importantly, it is something that</p> <p>23 is a copy of what's provided by the user originally,</p> <p>24 the user selected it -- usually the host has</p> <p>25 selected what is going to go into the playlist 2:29PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 183</p>	<p>1 three videos that have been selected for playback, 2:31PM</p> <p>2 video 1, video 2, video 3.</p> <p>3 In party mode, that party playlist is</p> <p>4 provided to the lounge server and stored as a party</p> <p>5 playlist on the lounge server that contains video 1, 2:31PM</p> <p>6 video 2 and video 3.</p> <p>7 Do you agree with me on that?</p> <p>8 A In that hypothetical, under some assumptions</p> <p>9 I can imagine a world where that would happen, yes.</p> <p>10 Q Now, that party playlist is then provided 2:31PM</p> <p>11 back to the playback device where it is stored with</p> <p>12 video 1, video 2 and video 3; correct?</p> <p>13 A Again, keeping in mind that that's exactly</p> <p>14 the same behavior that the protocol messages and so on</p> <p>15 about the lounge server providing the playlist to the 2:32PM</p> <p>16 Leanback screens are happening in party mode and</p> <p>17 non-party mode.</p> <p>18 The fact that there's party mode going on</p> <p>19 is really orthogonal or independent to the behavior</p> <p>20 of the Leanback screens. 2:32PM</p> <p>21 Q So is that -- let me ask my question</p> <p>22 again.</p> <p>23 The party playlist is then provided back</p> <p>24 to the playback device where it is stored with</p> <p>25 video 1, video 2 and video 3; correct? 2:32PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 185</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 A Whether it was a party queue or a queue, the 2:32PM 2 lounge server is going to send that content, the 3 content of that list, down to the Leanback screens in 4 both cases. Either case, it behaves the same way. 5 So that's why I keep saying I'm not sure 2:32PM 6 what party mode has to do with this. The behavior 7 is the same. You're getting a copy of something 8 that was sent from the remote control application 9 running on the sender device. 10 Q Okay. And what makes the copy of the 2:33PM 11 party playlist on the playback device a queue, a 12 playback queue, and the copy of the party playlist 13 on the lounge server not a playback queue? 14 MR. LEE: Objection, form. 15 THE WITNESS: Well, several things, first 2:33PM 16 of all, it's not even clear that it is a playback 17 queue. But assuming it is a playback queue, as I 18 say in Paragraph 216, the difference is that the 19 Leanback screen is not using the contents of the 20 copy of the user's playlist that's stored on the 2:33PM 21 lounge server. It's not using that in order to be 22 able to drive what it's doing. 23 It's being driven by the contents that it 24 has in its local copy, not what is up there in the 25 lounge server. 2:34PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 186</p>	<p>1 added, the -- 2:35PM 2 Q Sir, I'm not asking the differences 3 between party mode and non-party mode right now. 4 I'm asking you a very simple question. 5 Does the party playlist on the lounge 2:35PM 6 server include the same lists of media items that 7 are stored in the party playlist on the playback 8 device? 9 A No, not -- 10 MR. LEE: Objection, form. 2:36PM 11 BY MR. HEFAZI: 12 Q Sorry, go ahead. 13 A No, not necessarily. 14 Q So tell me when they would store the same 15 items. 2:36PM 16 A In the case where users are editing the 17 list, for example. 18 Q Okay. If a user edits a list, that edit 19 first gets made to the party playlist on the lounge 20 server. Do you agree? 2:36PM 21 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean 23 by "first." 24 BY MR. HEFAZI: 25 Q So when a user edits the party playlist, 2:36PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 188</p>
<p>1 BY MR. HEFAZI: 2:34PM 2 Q Let's take this in pieces. 3 So you agree with me that the copy of the 4 party playlist on the playback device and the copy 5 of the party playlist on the lounge server both 2:34PM 6 contain video 1, video 2 and video 3; correct? 7 A As I think I've mentioned several times now, 8 that's your hypothetical. There are situations where 9 at any given point in time, that isn't necessarily the 10 case. 2:34PM 11 Q But in some cases, right, if a user 12 creates a party playlist with three videos, video 1, 13 video 2 and video 3, the lounge server and the 14 playback device will both store the video 1, 15 video 2, video 3; correct? 2:35PM 16 A In both cases, the lounge server will 17 forward these lists to the Leanback screens and 18 will -- the Leanback screens will then use what's in 19 their list to do what they do with the content or 20 video IDs that are provided in that list. 2:35PM 21 The only real difference between party 22 mode and non-party mode is that in party mode, there 23 is a copy of that playlist that sits around in the 24 memory of the lounge server to coordinate things so 25 that when other guests join or other screens are 2:35PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 187</p>	<p>1 those edits are provided to the lounge server and 2:36PM 2 the party playlist on the lounge server is updated. 3 correct? 4 A Right. I think there is a discussion in 5 Paragraph 208 of my report about how if a host, for 2:37PM 6 example, modifies the playlist, then there would be a 7 playlist modified message sent or something like that. 8 Q And it's your testimony that the playlist 9 modified message also exists in non-party mode? 10 MR. LEE: Objection, form. 2:37PM 11 THE WITNESS: Let's see. 12 I would have to go back and look more 13 carefully at my analysis of how things are done in 14 the non-party mode. So I would have to look and see 15 how that works. 2:38PM 16 BY MR. HEFAZI: 17 Q Well, let's stick with what we're doing 18 right now. So we've now established that when the 19 user edits the party playlist, an edit is made to 20 the copy on the lounge server. 2:38PM 21 And do you agree now that it's only after 22 that edit is made that the party playlist gets an 23 updated -- sorry, that the playback device gets an 24 updated copy of the party playlist? 25 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 2:38PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 189</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 THE WITNESS: Many things could happen at 2:38PM 2 that point. 3 BY MR. HEFAZI: 4 Q In some instances does the edit that 5 updates the party playlist on the lounge server 2:38PM 6 result in the playback device then being sent an 7 updated copy of the party playlist? 8 MR. LEE: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: I believe it's possible for 10 that to happen, yes. 2:39PM 11 BY MR. HEFAZI: 12 Q At that time the playlist -- the party 13 playlist on the lounge server and the party playlist 14 on the playback device contain the entire list of 15 media items selected for playback; right? 2:39PM 16 MR. LEE: Object to form. 17 THE WITNESS: There is a whole bunch of 18 issues here. I think this is really the heart of 19 why the lounge server and its list is not driving 20 the show because there's several different entities 2:39PM 21 involved here. There's guests and hosts who are 22 doing things asynchronously with respect to 23 themselves. There's things that are taking place at 24 the lounge server that are happening asynchronously 25 with respect to the users and guest or the host and 2:39PM Page 190</p>	<p>1 A Under certain scenarios, yes, it would. 2:41PM 2 Q So let's talk about those scenarios. 3 So let's say Alice is in party mode with 4 Bob. And Alice and Bob have a party playlist that 5 has video 1, video 2 and video 3. 2:41PM 6 Are you following me so far? 7 A So who's the host and who's the guest? 8 Q Let's say Alice is the host and Bob is the 9 guest. 10 A Okay. 2:41PM 11 Q So if Bob has a -- makes an edit and adds 12 video 4 to the party playlist, that edit is then 13 going to be sent to the lounge server and replace 14 the prior version of the party playlist; right? 15 A It's possible. What's not -- it's possible. 2:42PM 16 There's scenarios under which other things could 17 happen, but that's possible, sure. 18 Q So if the party playlist previously had 19 three videos, it will now have four videos; right? 20 MR. LEE: Objection to -- 2:42PM 21 THE WITNESS: More than four videos or 22 less than four videos. There's all kinds of things 23 that can happen because the users are not 24 synchronized and they can do what they do in 25 whatever order they feel like. 2:42PM Page 192</p>
<p>1 the guests. 2:40PM 2 There's also things taking place 3 asynchronously with respect to the behavior of the 4 Leanback screens. 5 So you keep making it sound like all of 2:40PM 6 these updates occur instantaneously and somehow the 7 whole system runs as a large synchronized cohort and 8 that's not the way it works. 9 BY MR. HEFAZI: 10 Q So your understanding is that when a user 2:40PM 11 edits the party playlist that's stored -- sorry. 12 When a user edits the party playlist and that update 13 is provided to the party playlist on the lounge 14 server, that that does not trigger a method that 15 sends the party playlist to all of the devices? 2:40PM 16 MR. LEE: Object to form. 17 BY MR. HEFAZI: 18 Q Is that right? 19 MR. LEE: Object to form. 20 THE WITNESS: That's not what I'm saying, 2:40PM 21 no. 22 BY MR. HEFAZI: 23 Q Does it trigger a message that sends the 24 updated party playlist to all of the devices in the 25 party? 2:41PM Page 191</p>	<p>1 And since the lounge server is not running 2:42PM 2 the show, whatever happens depends on all kinds of 3 factors. 4 So that's why it's so hard to give you an 5 answer to the questions you're asking because you're 2:42PM 6 not accounting for all the inherent -- 7 BY MR. HEFAZI: 8 Q Okay. Only Bob has made an edit. Alice 9 has not made an edit and the only edit he made is to 10 change the party playlist from three videos to four 2:43PM 11 videos. Okay? 12 When the party playlist is updated in the 13 cloud, a party playlist will now be updated to have 14 four videos; correct? 15 A Assuming -- I'll go along with that for now, 2:43PM 16 sure. 17 Q And if the playback devices in the party 18 had a party playlist with three videos, they will 19 receive a new message with a copy of the party 20 playlist that's updated and now has four videos in 2:43PM 21 the party playlist. Okay? 22 MR. LEE: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: It's possible it's not -- 24 MR. LEE: Is there an assumption in here, 25 Nima, that -- 2:43PM Page 193</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 MR. HEFAZI: Please keep speaking -- the 2:43PM</p> <p>2 court has a very strict standing order, George. We</p> <p>3 don't want to take this to the court, so please --</p> <p>4 MR. LEE: I think you need to clarify the</p> <p>5 example because -- 2:43PM</p> <p>6 MR. HEFAZI: George, George, George,</p> <p>7 George, George, George. I'm telling you right now.</p> <p>8 We will take this to court.</p> <p>9 MR. LEE: Nima, there are only three on a</p> <p>10 local device, I just want to clarify what -- 2:44PM</p> <p>11 MR. HEFAZI: George, George, George, we're</p> <p>12 going to have to take this to the court if you</p> <p>13 continue these speaking objections.</p> <p>14 Q So, Mr. Schmidt -- sorry.</p> <p>15 Dr. Schmidt, so in that example, prior to 2:44PM</p> <p>16 the playback devices receiving the update from the</p> <p>17 lounge server, the lounge server will have four</p> <p>18 items four videos, video 1, video 2, video 3 and</p> <p>19 video 4, whereas the playback device will only have</p> <p>20 videos 1, 2 and 3; correct? 2:44PM</p> <p>21 A Under a certain set of assumptions, that's</p> <p>22 possible, but there's other scenarios that might be</p> <p>23 different.</p> <p>24 Q When the playback device gets the updated</p> <p>25 party playlist, it will now have the four videos, 2:44PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 194</p>	<p>1 another video, video 5. Can that edit be sent to 2:46PM</p> <p>2 the Leanback screens, the playback devices before</p> <p>3 they are processed by the lounge server?</p> <p>4 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: You're referring to a 2:46PM</p> <p>6 situation where only Bob is making changes; is that</p> <p>7 right?</p> <p>8 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>9 Q Correct.</p> <p>10 A Being aware that that's not the only 2:46PM</p> <p>11 scenario in which a YouTube app could be used, but</p> <p>12 with that as a caveat, because other users could be</p> <p>13 making changes simultaneously to the playlist, it's my</p> <p>14 understanding that the communication in YouTube Remote</p> <p>15 always goes through the lounge server and there's not 2:47PM</p> <p>16 direct communication from the YouTube Remote app on a</p> <p>17 remote controller to Leanback screen directly.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. So the lounge server's copy of the</p> <p>19 party playlist will need to be updated before the</p> <p>20 screen's copy of the party playlist can be updated? 2:47PM</p> <p>21 A Again, assuming a number of conditions,</p> <p>22 that's my understanding of how it would work, that's</p> <p>23 correct.</p> <p>24 MR. HEFAZI: Okay. Let's take a short</p> <p>25 five-minute break. 2:47PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 196</p>
<p>1 video 1, video 2, video 3 and video 4; right? 2:44PM</p> <p>2 A Again, under certain --</p> <p>3 MR. LEE: Objection, form. Nima, again,</p> <p>4 objection to form.</p> <p>5 BY MR. HEFAZI: 2:45PM</p> <p>6 Q Those were the four videos that were</p> <p>7 selected by Bob using his YouTube application for</p> <p>8 playback; correct?</p> <p>9 MR. LEE: Same objection to form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Again, under a certain set 2:45PM</p> <p>11 of assumptions. It doesn't necessarily have to be</p> <p>12 that case at all.</p> <p>13 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>14 Q But in certain cases, that would occur and</p> <p>15 so the four videos selected by Bob for playback 2:45PM</p> <p>16 would be provided to a lounge server and stored at</p> <p>17 the party playlist first and then update the other</p> <p>18 devices in the party; correct?</p> <p>19 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: There are certain scenarios 2:45PM</p> <p>21 under which that would occur. There are other</p> <p>22 scenarios under which that would not occur.</p> <p>23 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>24 Q So if Bob was to make an edit to the -- to</p> <p>25 the party queue, so he makes an edit and he adds 2:46PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 195</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2:47PM</p> <p>2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record.</p> <p>3 It's 2:47 p.m.</p> <p>4 (Recess taken.)</p> <p>5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 2:56PM</p> <p>6 record. It's 2:56 p.m.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>8 Q Now, you've opined that a standard</p> <p>9 device -- strike that.</p> <p>10 You've opined that a user device running 2:56PM</p> <p>11 the accused YouTube applications is configured for</p> <p>12 playback of a Watch Next queue; rights?</p> <p>13 A That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q What is a Watch Next queue?</p> <p>15 A There is a discussion of that here on 2:56PM</p> <p>16 Paragraph 128 in my opening report and then the</p> <p>17 further elaboration with a bit more color details is</p> <p>18 provided in Paragraph 60 in my reply report.</p> <p>19 So the Watch Next queue as discussed in</p> <p>20 Paragraph 128 of my opening report is the list of 2:57PM</p> <p>21 media items provided by the YouTube cloud</p> <p>22 infrastructure for playback. That's what it says</p> <p>23 there.</p> <p>24 And then on Paragraph 60 in my reply</p> <p>25 report, I show a further elaboration of that showing 2:57PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 197</p>

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

<p>1 infringed by the various instrumentalities at issue 3:22PM</p> <p>2 here.</p> <p>3 So in this particular case, we're looking</p> <p>4 I think at the part having to do with Claim</p> <p>5 Element 1.4 about configuring the computing device 3:22PM</p> <p>6 to playback a remote playback queue.</p> <p>7 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>8 Q So let's turn to Page 78 of your opening</p> <p>9 report. You cite some code here on Page 78 for the</p> <p>10 playlist service; right? 3:22PM</p> <p>11 A Which paragraph?</p> <p>12 Q It's part of Paragraph 248, but Page 78.</p> <p>13 A Page 78, that's right at the top of the</p> <p>14 page, "Playlist Service."</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And do any of the pieces of code 3:23PM</p> <p>16 that you've cited here manage the storage of the</p> <p>17 Watch Next queue?</p> <p>18 A Yes, in addition to the other things we've</p> <p>19 talked about. So I think there's some examples.</p> <p>20 Looking here at Line 8, "Playlists of all 3:23PM</p> <p>21 types can be treated as basic playlists. They</p> <p>22 represent an ordered list of videos."</p> <p>23 There is a discussion on Lines 9 and 10</p> <p>24 about having a way to access and manipulate</p> <p>25 playlists stored in a BigTable. 3:24PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 214</p>	<p>1 BY MR. HEFAZI: 3:25PM</p> <p>2 Q Okay. So in your opinion, does the</p> <p>3 BigTable store the Watch Next queue?</p> <p>4 A I think as I've been describing throughout</p> <p>5 the questioning, the Watch Next queue is a capability 3:25PM</p> <p>6 that's provided by an ensemble of services including</p> <p>7 Watch Next, playlist document service and playlist</p> <p>8 service.</p> <p>9 And together they are what are used to</p> <p>10 provide the lists of media items for playback. 3:25PM</p> <p>11 Q Okay. So the Watch Next queue is just a</p> <p>12 capability, it's not an actual data structure that's</p> <p>13 stored somewhere?</p> <p>14 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: It's an ensemble of services 3:26PM</p> <p>16 that work together to provide the remote playback</p> <p>17 queue service or capability that's described and</p> <p>18 disclosed in the claims of the '033 patent.</p> <p>19 BY MR. HEFAZI:</p> <p>20 Q You keep referring to the capability. Is 3:26PM</p> <p>21 the Watch Next queue just a capability or is it an</p> <p>22 actual data structure that's stored somewhere?</p> <p>23 A Well, again, looking at the claims, there</p> <p>24 needs to be a capability that provides a list of media</p> <p>25 items for playback. And specifically what data 3:26PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 216</p>
<p>1 There's discussions on Line 13 or 14 about 3:24PM</p> <p>2 loading playlists, giving their full list IDs and</p> <p>3 more. There's --</p> <p>4 Q Does the Watch Next queue have to be --</p> <p>5 strike that. 3:24PM</p> <p>6 So you say in Line 10, "a playlist is</p> <p>7 stored in the BigTable"; right?</p> <p>8 A I see that there is a -- describing what the</p> <p>9 playlist service header file is saying that the --</p> <p>10 this file contains a collection of RPCs to access and 3:24PM</p> <p>11 manipulate playlists stored in the BigTable.</p> <p>12 Q So does the BigTable store the entire list</p> <p>13 of multimedia content selected for playback?</p> <p>14 MR. LEE: Objection to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: So, again, what's described 3:24PM</p> <p>16 in Paragraph 50 of my reply report is that the</p> <p>17 playlist service stores lists of media items</p> <p>18 selected for playback by the sender in what Google</p> <p>19 refers to as the BigTable, which is identified by a</p> <p>20 playlist ID. 3:25PM</p> <p>21 And playlist service provides video IDs</p> <p>22 from media items from that stored list to the</p> <p>23 playlist document service that returns the video IDs</p> <p>24 to the Watch Next service.</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 215</p>	<p>1 structure is used for that is really not required by 3:26PM</p> <p>2 the claims. So my analysis is focusing on what</p> <p>3 Google's cloud infrastructure does in order to provide</p> <p>4 that capability.</p> <p>5 Q I see. Now, the NexusQ prior art allowed 3:27PM</p> <p>6 users to play back playlists like albums like an</p> <p>7 AC/DC Back in Black album that were stored in the</p> <p>8 cloud; correct?</p> <p>9 A Let me go remind myself what the NexusQ did.</p> <p>10 That's over in my rebuttal report. 3:27PM</p> <p>11 As I recall, there were a couple of</p> <p>12 different versions that Dr. B referred to in his</p> <p>13 report -- reports.</p> <p>14 And it was my understanding -- I think</p> <p>15 this is shown in the diagram in Paragraph 242 in my 3:27PM</p> <p>16 report that the NexusQ, or I think it's sometimes</p> <p>17 referred to as the Tungsten system, could be used</p> <p>18 during the time -- the relevant time of the</p> <p>19 invention could be used to basically send playlists</p> <p>20 from a mobile device to a so-called Tungsten device 3:28PM</p> <p>21 and then that Tungsten device could go out and use</p> <p>22 the playlist to download content from some other</p> <p>23 service.</p> <p>24 I don't believe that --</p> <p>25 Q So when a user plays back the album AC/DC 3:28PM</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page 217</p>